MULTI-VIEWPOINT TRACKING FOR VISUAL SURVEILLANCE USING SINGLE DOME CAMERA
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Abstract- Efficient surveillance systems crave to track multiple objects throughout the scene with unique tracking IDs. Tracking multiple objects robustly requires invariant attributes matching. If objects have to be tracked across viewpoints, then a technique is required to reassign those IDs. Such an approach is proposed which combines extended Kalman filter with past information for isolated object tracking. And whenever objects partially or fully overlapped, features like color, size and object class are used to distinguish between them. To track objects across viewpoints, color matching and expected position is find out. The proposed method is also robust to background modeling technique. The system was tested in real world application and successful results were obtained.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Today with the advancement of computer speed, the overall deployed systems are becoming smaller. So, handful apparatus could help you to attain desired results. Such an approach is used in this paper. Single dome camera is installed at road junction to track the objects across viewpoints with same tracking ID.

Owing to the appearance variability, motion blur and occlusion, obtaining the locations and trajectories of targets become significantly challenging. Various methods have been proposed and improved to deal this problem. Most of them either track single object or a camera is installed at each viewpoint. Therefore we intend to track multiple objects using single dome camera.

This paper is divided into four parts. Firstly, object extraction using spatio-temporal Gaussian mixture model (STGMM) technique proposed by Soh et al. [1]. The proposed technique eliminates the noise from the foreground and is robust to uniform and non-uniform motions in the background.

At second stage, extended Kalman filter (EKF) is exploited to track non-linear moving objects. The dome camera is installed at road junction, where cars could move much faster than walking people, so linear Kalman filter was replaced with EKF.

To track objects under mild or extreme occlusion, color information is utilized at third stage. Histogram matching was found best for comparing color information. The color of an object is an invariant attribute, also used to reassign tracking IDs across the viewpoints.

Finally, extracted features of tracked objects are saved in separate data files for later surveillance. The extracted features include track, color, time of appearance and leaving the scene and object class.

II. RELATED WORKS

Various techniques have been proposed and improved for single viewpoint tracking (SVT). However, only few techniques are available to track objects across viewpoints i.e. multi-viewpoint tracking (MVT). We would briefly review only few well-known techniques in both aspects.

1. SINGLE VIEWPOINT TRACKING

Kim et al. [2] robustly track multiple moving objects for intelligent video surveillance system. The proposed method uses red-green-blue (RGB) color background modelling to precisely extract foreground. The predicted direction and velocity of objects are also taken into consideration.

A good approach to track human under occlusion is bring forth by Chu et al. [3]. They propose use of adaptive multiple kernels with projected gradient. They also use Kalman filter to enable automatic tracking. Therefore, an object giving less information because of being overlapped by object can be still tracked efficiently.

Another method is proposed by Chitra et al. [4]. Objects are tracked efficiently by proposed method even they are overlapped completely. Histograms of oriented gradients are compared to decide between occluded objects using support vector machine (SVM).

Tracking objects precisely when they are occluded is a challenging task. Dang et al. [5] not only accept it but also accomplish it. They propose a robust data association with filtering procedure to handle occlusion and temporal lost problem. They use census transform technique and block-by-block strategy is employed to generate the confidence map. Then, the prior motion cues and observations are used for inferring the state of the occluded face.

Tracking not only humans but also vehicles is becoming hot topic nowadays. A method is proposed by Sheng et al. [6], where they use multiple visual features to distinguish one vehicle from another. Particle filter is also used to make tracking robust. To avoid incorrect results caused by model drift, model updating is controlled precisely.
2. MULTI-VIEWPOINT TRACKING

A new method for multi-viewpoint tracking is proposed therefore finding related works which use one camera to track more than one viewpoint was hard task. Therefore, problems faced by researchers when they use set of cameras to track an object are reviewed here. It is somehow similar to use data taken from one viewpoint and matching it to another viewpoint.

A network of co-operative cameras for visual surveillance of parking lots is presented by Micheloni et al. [7]. The proposed system tracks multiple targets simultaneously throughout the controlled area. The positions of objects are computed from different sensors to get robust results. Active camera system compensates background changes; hence the proposed method automatically tracks the interested objects.

Waist et al. [8] propose an approach to track several subjects captured by multiple cameras in real time. Each human subject is represented by a parametric ellipsoid. The likelihood of each subject is computed using their positions, heights and velocities. Moreover, particle filter is implemented on a graphic processing unit to increase the overall processing speed of the system.

To overcome multi-target occlusion problem, Wei et al. [9] proposed a distributed Bayesian framework using multiple collaborative cameras for robust and efficient multiple-target tracking in crowded environments with significant and persistent occlusion. The likelihood for multi-target occlusion is calculated using their views in different cameras.

Tracking becomes more complicated when the video is low-resolution. It becomes more severe when one has to track multiple objects using multiple cameras. The method proposed by Cristian et al. [10] tackles the above mentioned problems. They propose a method which generates 3D color representation of the scene. The Bayesian approach is used to efficiently create and destroy tracks. The likelihood function is based on local neighbourhoods in particle filter to decrease the computational time. Specifically, they propose the camera collaboration likelihood density by using epipolar geometry with sequential Monte Carlo implementation.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

The proposed method tracks multiple objects across the viewpoints with same tracking ID efficiently. Single dome camera is used to capture all four viewpoints at road junction. Therefore, less apparatus can give good results using the proposed method.

1. OBJECT DETECTION

First and foremost important aspect in robust tracking is efficient foreground extraction. We reviewed the STGMM proposed by Soh et al. [1] for background modeling. The proposed technique deals with temporal behavior as well as spatial relations. Comprehensive description can be reviewed in [11]. A notable thing is, while doing multi-viewpoint tracking the background should be settled first for each viewpoint.

2. TRACKING

The proposed method is divided into parts. First is single viewpoint tracking which exploits extended Kalman filtering for isolated object tracking and color matching for confused situations i.e., occlusion. Second part explains multi-viewpoint tracking. It consists of intra-viewpoint tracking and inter-viewpoint tracking. For intra-viewpoint tracking it is same as single viewpoint tracking, whereas, inter-viewpoint tracking matches the color, velocity and expected position of objects in the present viewpoint to the objects in the previous viewpoints. A comparison is also carried out between single viewpoint tracking and multi-viewpoint tracking.

3. SINGLE VIEWPOINT TRACKING

1) Extended Kalman Filtering:

For tracking objects, we used EKF instead of LKF because mostly objects do not move at constant speed; cars and waking people. So, the state variables and measurements no longer remains linear combination of state variables. The key variables used in EKF were state estimate \( x_k \) and measurement \( z_k \) whose relation can be depicted from Eq. 1 and Eq. 2. This is advance work of our earlier research, so detailed description of EKF can be seen in [11].

\[
x_k = A x_{k-1} + w_{k-1}
\]

where, \( A \) represents the transition matrix and \( x_t \) the state at time \( t \) to \( t+1 \). Vector \( w_{k-1} \) is the Gaussian process noise with normal probability distribution. We preferred, \( A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & dt \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \), where \( dt = 0.01 \) and \( x_{k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\text{Position}} \\ y_{\text{Position}} \end{bmatrix} \) at time \( k-1 \). \( w_{k-1} \) was neglected because we were working on video.

\[
z_k = H x_k + v_k
\]

where, \( H \) is the measurement matrix and \( z_k \) is the measurement observed at time \( k-1 \) to \( k \) respectively. \( v_k \) is also the Gaussian process noise with normal probability distribution, so neglected. \( z_k = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\text{Position}} \\ y_{\text{Position}} \end{bmatrix} \) at time \( k \) and \( H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \).

2) Feature Extraction and Matching:

The foreground extracted from STGMM was labeled and color, size and object class attributes were extracted. These features were well utilized to reassign object IDs at separation after merging or overlapping. Brief explanation of these features follows:

a) Color The RGB values of each object were stored in three independent histograms as they appear in the scene. These histograms are matched at separation after being merged using Bhattacharyya distance. Detailed explanation of color matching is given in our prior work [12].

b) Size The size of object was taken as area of bounding box i.e., Length*Width. It was very important feature while
deciding between objects while they merged for few frames only. Also, object size helped to distinguish a person, group of people and vehicles in the scene.

c) Object Class

The object class was helpful attribute for robust tracking. Because algorithm might consider group of people as single vehicle when they were far from the camera, as their size were almost similar. And probably we would have lost the track.

Algorithm: SVT

| For Each Image |
| For Each Foreground |
| Find Center-point, Color, Size and Object Class |
| Store Attributes and Find Kalman Prediction |
| End of For loop |
| End of For loop |
| For Each Object X |
| If (New Color & Size (after separation) – Previous Color & Size (before merging)) < Threshold |
| Same Object X |
| End If |
| Else |
| New Object Y |
| End Else |
| End of For loop |

Fig. 1 The proposed SVT Algorithm in pseudo code

4. MULTI-VIEWPOINT TRACKING

1) Intra-viewpoint Tracking:

Intra-viewpoint tracking is same as single viewpoint tracking. It uses extended Kalman filter and attribute matching. Every object has its unique tracking ID and tracked information. The proposed method for single viewpoint tracking or intra-viewpoint tracking is explained in Fig. 2.

Algorithm: MVT

| For rotation <= 2 |
| For (current viewpoint: starting ID to ending ID) |
| For (last viewpoint: starting ID to ending ID) |
| If ([Distance Threshold < (Object expected position in current viewpoint – Object position in last viewpoint)] && Color Similarity < Color Threshold) |
| Same Object X |
| End If |
| End of For loop |
| End of For loop |

where:

rotation = 1 cycle (4 viewpoints)
If current viewpoint = 1 then last viewpoints are 4,3 and 2

Fig. 2 The flowchart of proposed method

Fig. 3 The flowchart of proposed method

2) Inter-viewpoint tracking:

Tracking objects in one viewpoint with the same ID with which it had been already tracked in some other viewpoint is called Inter-viewpoint tracking. It is a challenging task when single camera is used, because objects are not being tracked frame by frame. The objects may or may not appear current viewpoint. Moreover, they could appear as merged object. Also, the objects could accelerate or slowdown while moving from one viewpoint to another. Such cases can be easily depicted from the scene given in Fig. 3. The objects are tracked in inside the area shown for each viewpoint. The area of dome camera transition i.e., moving from one viewpoint to another is called blind area. No tracking is done under blind area.
IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

1. SINGLE VIEWPOINT TRACKING

The proposed method was tested and robust results were obtained for isolated and occluded objects tracking. The experiment was carried out on a data set of 24bit 1047 bmp files having dimensions 480*320. The testing platform was C++ using Visual Studio 2010 installed on Intel Core i5-3470 CPU @ 3.20 GHZ with 8 GB RAM and NVIDIA GeForce GTX 550 graphic accelerator card installed. Only notable results for isolated and merged cases are mentioned below.

a) Isolated Object Tracking: EKF with past information of objects were exploited to track isolated moving objects. Fig. 5(b) shows a scenario where object with tracking ID 0 breaks in the STGMM but still neither we lost track nor new ID is assigned, that is shown in Fig. 5(c).

b) Overlapped Object Tracking: When the interested object is overlapped by other object(s), keeping precise track of interested object is quite hard task. It requires invariant attribute matching to recognize merging and separation cases. Fig. 6(a) shows two objects are merged i.e., three objects in the scene but two blobs, the merged IDs are shown in output. In Fig. 6(b) all of the objects in the scene merged hence as shown in output. Fig. 6(c) represents a case when the object 2 which had merged information of other objects as shown in Fig. 6(b), itself separated leaving behind object 0 and 1 merged. Here, color alone was insufficient to name merged object as “0 1” and stored the merged information. Therefore, size and number of objects near the separation were calculated. So, when object 0 and 1 were separated, all objects got their correct IDs using color matching as shown in Fig. 6(d).

2. MULTI-VIEWPOINT TRACKING

Tracking the same object in different viewpoints with same ID was the goal of the proposed method. To track objects across viewpoints, their color, expected position and velocities were precisely matched. Experiment was done on a data set of 24 bit 942 bmp files with dimensions 320*180 using C++ platform with Visual Studio 2010 installed on Intel Core i5-3470 CPU @ 3.20 GHZ with 8 GB RAM and NVIDIA GeForce GTX 550 graphic accelerator card installed. Robust tracking results were obtained. Only few notable cases are mentioned below. The multi-viewpoint tracking is divided into two steps: Intra-viewpoint tracking and Inter-viewpoint tracking.

a) Intra-viewpoint Tracking: The procedure for intra-viewpoint tracking is same as SVT. The detected objects were assigned IDs. EKF was applied to position of objects and key attributes like size, color and object class, were extracted and stored. Each figure in Fig. 7(a) to 7(g) represents intra-viewpoint tracking for four viewpoints, when the objects appeared.

b) Inter-viewpoint Tracking: The algorithm explained in Fig. 4 was implemented for inter-viewpoint tracking to accomplish MVT. The detected objects were assigned IDs and then they were matched using their color, expected position in current viewpoint and velocity. The tracking of objects were not done under blind area i.e., dome camera switching between one viewpoint to another. First iteration of
data set, the tracking was not begun to set the STGMM. After that, two objects were detected in first rotation and first viewpoint as shown in Fig. 7(a). Later third and fourth objects were detected as they appeared in STGMM but we only quoting here notable results.

In Fig.7(b) object with tracking ID 4 is tracked in second viewpoint of first rotation which was one of our two interested objects, which would later appear in other viewpoints. IDs were given to the objects detected in Fig. 7(c). The object with tracking ID 7 in rotation# 1 viewpoint# 3 was matched with object ID 4 in rotation# 1 viewpoint# 2 on basis of color and expected position calculated by velocity and time taken to leave viewpoint 2 and enter viewpoint 3.

So, ID and other attributes were returned. Hence, the yellow guy in the scene retained its ID i.e., 4. Another interested object in the scene is object with tracking ID 6. We tracked both objects 4 and 6, with same IDs in each and every viewpoint of all dataset.

Robust results are shown from Fig. 7(d) to Fig. 7(g). The objects were not only checked in past three viewpoints but also past three rotations, that means we checked each object in 4*3-1 = 11 previous viewpoints.
Fig. 7 Experimental results of multi-viewpoint tracking; the object retaining their IDs across viewpoints
V. CONCLUSIONS

Robust object tracking requires invariant attributes matching. Color and size of object play vital role in this aspect. Such a method was proposed and satisfactory results were obtained. Tracking objects across viewpoint with same tracking ID was a challenging task which was accomplished successfully. The proposed method combined the single viewpoint and multi-viewpoint features therefore, merged and separated cases could also be addressed easily across viewpoint.

In future we like to track objects in more complex scheme across the viewpoint using single rotating dome camera based on Kalman prediction and object features. Segmentation of horizontal objects in STGMM would also be considered.
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