

International Journal of Latest Research in Science and Technology ISSN (Online):2278-5299 Volume 4, Issue 6: Page No.35-36, November-December 2015 (special Issue Paper) http://www.mnkjournals.com/ijlrst.htm Special Issue on International conference on Methematics Sciences-2015(MSC-2015) Conference Held at Sadguru Gadage Maharaj College, Karad ,Maharastra,(India)

## FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR SEQUENCE OF SELF MAPPING IN COMPLETER METRIC SPACE

N. M. Kavathekar

Mudhoji College, Phaltan (M.S.), India, Email : kavathekarnirmala@gmail.com

Abstract- In 1978 Kishorimohan Ghosh and S. K. Chatterjea [1] have investigated fixed point theorem in metric space for two self mapping. In the present paper a fixed point theorem for sequence of self mapping in the complete metric space has been proved. Keywords : Complete metric space, contraction, fixed point, self mapping.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In 1974 M. Sen Gupta [2] has proved that in a complete metric space (M, d) if there exists two operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  mapping M into itself and satisfying the relation.

 $\begin{array}{c} d \ (T_1 x, \ T_2 x) \leq & \& d \ (x, \ T_1 \ x) \, + \, \beta \ d \ (y, \ T_2 \ y) \, + \, \gamma \ d \ (x, \ y) \\ \underline{\qquad (1.1)} \end{array}$ 

 $d (T_1x, T_2y) \leq \alpha d (y, T_1 x) + \beta d (x, T_2y) + \gamma d (x, y)$ (1.2)

For x, y in M, where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  are non-negative real numbers and  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ , then T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> have a unique common fixed point.

In 1978 Kinshorimohan Ghosh and S. K. Chatterjea [1] have investigated the following theorem.

Theorem : Let (x, d) be metric space. T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> be two self mapping for which there exists non-negative 5

real numbers 
$$\mathfrak{K}_i$$
 (i = 1.2...5) and  $\sum_{i=1}^{J} \mathfrak{K}_i < 1$  such that

 $\begin{array}{l} d\left(T_{1}x,\,T_{2}y\right) \leq & \textbf{X}_{1}\,d\left(x,\,y\right) + & \textbf{X}_{2}\,d\left(x,\,T_{1}\,x\right) + & \textbf{X}_{3}\,d\left(y,\,T_{2}y\right) + & \textbf{X}_{4}\\ d\left(x,\,T_{2}\,y\right) + \end{array}$ 

 $\alpha_5 d(y, T_1 x)$ 

For all  $x, y \in X$ .

For any  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence

 $X_1 = T_2 X_0, X_2 = T_2 X_1 \dots$ 

$$X_{2n} = T_2 (X_{2n-1}), X_{2n+1} = T_1 (X_{2n}) \dots$$

has a subsequence converging to  $u \in x$  then  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  have a unique common fixed point u. \_\_\_\_\_ (1.3) In the present paper we have extended the above fixed point theorem for sequence of mapping in complete metric space. Theorem : Let (x, d) be complete metric space,  $T_i$  and  $T_j$  be two sequences of self mapping for which there exists non-negative real numbers.  $\mathfrak{K}_i$  (I = 1, 2 ..... 5)

with 
$$0 \le \infty_i < 1$$
 and  $\sum_{i=1}^{5} \infty_i < 1$  such that

 $d (T_i x, T_j y) \leq \alpha_1 d (x, y) + \alpha_2 d (x, T_i x) + \alpha_3 d (y, T_j y) + \alpha_4 d (x, T_i y) +$ 

 $\label{eq:started_st$ 

Proof of (1.4) :

We will prove the above theorem by considering the following three steps. i) First we will show that  $\{X_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. ii) Existence of fixed point. iii) Uniqueness of fixed point. (i) Let  $X_0$  be any point of X and consider the sequence  $X_1 = T_1 X_0, X_2 = T_2 X_1, X_3 = T_1 X_2$  $X_2n = T_2 X_{2n-1}, X_{2n+1} = T_1 X_{2n}$ We have for  $x, y \in X$  $d(T_i x, T_i y) \leq \alpha_1 d(x, y) + \alpha_2 d(x, T_i x) + \alpha_3 d(y, T_i y) +$  $\bigotimes_4 d(x, T_i y) +$  $\alpha_5 d(y, T_i x)$ (A) By interchanging x with y and T<sub>i</sub> with T<sub>i</sub> we get  $d(T_i y, T_i x) \leq \alpha_1 d(y, x) + \alpha_2 d(y, T_i y) + \alpha_3 d(x, T_i x) + \alpha_3 d(x, T_i x$  $\bigotimes_4 d(y, T_i x) +$  $\propto_5 d(x, T_i y)$ \_(B) Now adding (A) and (B) we have  $d(T_i x, T_i y) + d(T_i y, T_i x) \leq \alpha_1 d(x, y) + \alpha_1 d(y, x) + \alpha_2 d$  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{T}_{i} \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{X}_{2} \mathbf{d} (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{T}_{i} \mathbf{y})$ +  $\alpha_3 d(y, T_i y)$  +  $\alpha_3 d(x, T_i x)$  +  $\alpha_4 d(x, T_j y)$  +  $\alpha_4 d(y, T_i x)$ x) + +  $\mathbf{x}_5 d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{T}_i \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{x}_5 d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{T}_i \mathbf{y})$ Now by symmetric property we have d(x, y) = d(y, x)

 $\therefore 2d(T_i x, T_i y) \leq 20 (x, y) + (0 (x, y) + (0 (x, T_i x) + (0 (x, y))))$  $(x_2) d(y, T_i y) +$  $(\mathbf{\alpha}_4 + \mathbf{\alpha}_3) d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{T}_i \mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{\alpha}_5 + \mathbf{\alpha}_4) d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{T}_i \mathbf{x})$ 2d  $(T_i x, T_i y) \le 2 \propto_1 d(x, y) + (\propto_2 + \propto_3) \{ d(x, T_i x) + d(y, T_i) \}$ y)  $+ (\mathbf{X}_4 + \mathbf{X}_5)$  $\{d(x, T_i y) + d(y, T_i x)\}$  $\therefore d(T_i x, T_j y) \leq \alpha_1 d(x, y) + \left(\frac{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{2}\right) \{d(x, T_i x) + d(y, T_j, y)\}$  $y)\} + \left(\frac{\alpha_4 + \alpha_5}{2}\right)$  $\{d(x, T_j y) + d(y, T_i x)\}$ Put  $x = x_0$  and  $y = x_1$  we have  $d\left(T_{i}x_{0},\,T_{j}x_{1}\right) \leq \boldsymbol{\propto}_{1}\,d\left(x_{0},\,x_{1}\right) + \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}+\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{3}}{2}\right)\left\{d\left(x_{0},\,T_{i}\,x_{0}\right) + d\left(x_{1},\,x_{1}\right)\right\}$  $T_j x_1$  +  $\left(\frac{\alpha_4 + \alpha_5}{2}\right)$  $d\{x_0, T_j x_1\} + d\{x_1, T_i x_0\}$  $d(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{1}) + d(x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{0})$ Now since  $T_{i} x_{0} = x_{1}$  and  $T_{j} x_{1} = x_{2}$  we have  $d(x_{1}, x_{2}) \leq \mathbb{K}_{1} d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + \left(\frac{\mathbb{K}_{2} + \mathbb{K}_{3}}{2}\right) \{d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + d(x_{1} x_{2})\}$  $+\left(\frac{\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}}{2}\right)\left\{d\left(x_{0},x_{2}\right)+d\left(x_{1},x_{1}\right)\right\}$ Now since  $d(x_1, x_1) = 0$  and  $d(x_0, x_2) \le d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)$ We have  $d(x_{1}, x_{2}) \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1} d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{3}}{2}\right) \{d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + d(x_{1} x_{2})\} +$  $\left(\frac{\alpha_4 + \alpha_5}{2}\right)$  $\{d(x_0, x_2) + d(x_1, x_2)\}$  $2d(x_1, x_2) - (\mathbf{X}_2 + \mathbf{X}_3) d(x_1, x_2) - (\mathbf{X}_4 + \mathbf{X}_5) d(x_1, x_2) \le 1$  $2 \mathbf{X}_1 d(x_0, x_1) + (\mathbf{X}_2 + \mathbf{X}_3) d(x_0, x_1) + (\mathbf{X}_4 + \mathbf{X}_5) d(x_0, x_1)$  $\therefore 2 - (\mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 + \mathbf{x}_5) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \leq (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = (2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4) d (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) d ($  $(X_5) d(x_0, x_1)$ 

$$d(x_{1},x_{2}) \leq \frac{2\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3} + \alpha_{4} + \alpha_{5}}{2 - (\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3} + \alpha_{4} + \alpha_{5})} d(x_{0},x_{1})$$

 $\therefore d(x_1, x_2) \le r d(x_0, x_1)$ Where  $r = \frac{2 \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5}{2 - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)}$ Similarly we can show that  $d(x_2, x_3) \le r d(x_2, x_1)$   $\le r \cdot r d(x_0, x_1)$   $\therefore d(x_2, x_3) \le r^2 d(x_0, x_1)$ 

By induction we can prove that  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq r^n d(x_{0+}x_1)$ Hence  $d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots \dots$   $\dots + d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p})$   $\therefore d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq (r^n + r^{n+1} + \dots + r^{n+p-1}) d(x_0, x_1)$   $\therefore d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq \frac{r^n (1-r^{n+p-1})}{(1-r)} d(x_0, x_1)$   $\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{since } r < 1$ and owning to the assumption  $\Sigma \ C_i < 1$ 

 $\therefore$  d (x<sub>n</sub>, x<sub>n+p</sub>)  $\rightarrow$  0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ So we have  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence since subsequence  $\{Xn_k\}$  of this sequence  $\{X_n\}$  converges to u  $\underbrace{n \xrightarrow{n} x}_{ii} X_n = u \in X$ ii) Now we will prove that u is fixed point of T<sub>i</sub> and T<sub>j</sub> i.e. we will prove that  $T_i u = u, \quad T_i u = u$ Now first Consider  $d(T_i u, u) \le d(T_i u, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, u)$  $= d (T_i u, T_j x_{2n-1}) + d (x_{2n}, u)$ d  $(T_i u, u) \leq \bigotimes_1 d(u, x_{2n-1}) + \bigotimes_2 d(u, T_i u) +$  $\alpha_{3d}(X_{2n-1}, X_{2n}) + \alpha_{4d}(u, X_{2n}) +$  $\propto_5 d(x_{2n-1}, T_i u) + d(x_{2n}, u)$ As  $n \to \infty$  we have  $d(T_i u, u) \leq (\bigotimes_2 + \bigotimes_5) d(u, T_i u)$  $\therefore \frac{lim}{n \to \infty} X_n = u$  $\Rightarrow \frac{lim}{n \to \infty} X_{2n-1} = u \text{ and } d(u, u) = 0$  $\therefore$  d (T<sub>i</sub> u, u)  $\leq$  ( $\bigotimes_2 + \bigotimes_5$ ) d (T<sub>i</sub> u, u)  $\therefore$  d (T<sub>i</sub> u, u) - ( $\bigotimes_2 + \bigotimes_5$ ) d (T<sub>i</sub> u, u)  $\leq 0$ {1-  $(\mathbf{Q}_2 + \mathbf{Q}_5)$ } d  $(T_i u, u) \le 0$ Which is possible if  $d(T_i u, u) = 0$ Since  $1 - (\mathbf{X}_2 + \mathbf{X}_5) \neq 0$ Similarly we can prove that  $T_i u = u$  $\Rightarrow$  T<sub>i</sub> and T<sub>i</sub> have common fixed point u iii) Now consider the uniqueness of fixed point u. If possible let there be another fixed point v of Ti and T<sub>i</sub>  $\therefore$  T<sub>i</sub> v = v and T<sub>i</sub> v = v Then d (u, v) =  $d(T_i u, T_j v)$  $\therefore$  d (u, v)  $\leq \alpha_1 d(u, v) + \alpha_2 d(u, T_i u) + \alpha_3 d(v, T_i v) + \alpha_4 d$  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{T}_{i} \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{X}_{5} \mathbf{d} (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{T}_{i} \mathbf{u})$  $\therefore d(u, v) \leq \mathbf{X}_1 d(u, v) + \mathbf{X}_2 d(u, u) + \mathbf{X}_3 d(v, v) + \mathbf{X}_4 d(u, v)$  $v) + \mathbf{x}_{5} d(u, v)$  $\therefore$  d (u, v) –  $\bigotimes_1$  d (u, v) -  $\bigotimes_4$  d (u, v) -  $\bigotimes_5$  d (u, v)  $\leq 0$  $\therefore d(u, v) = 0 = d(v, v)$ and d(u, v) = d(v, u) $\Rightarrow$ [1-( $\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$ )] d (u, v)  $\leq 0$ Which is possible if d(u, v) = 0Since  $\{1 - (\mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{X}_4 + \mathbf{X}_5)\} \neq 0$  $\therefore$  d (u, v) = 0  $\Rightarrow$  v = u Hence T<sub>i</sub> and T<sub>i</sub> have unique common fixed point u. Hence the theorem

## REFERENCES

- Ghosh Kishorimohan and Chatterjea S. K. (1978) : "Some fixed point theorems", Bull. Cal. Math. Sco., 71, 13-22.
- Gupta M. Sen (1974) : "on common fixed points of operators", Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 66, 149.