

SOME REMARKS IN SEMI Q-LATTICE

A. D. Lokhande¹ and Ashok S Kulkarni²

¹Research Guide, Department of Mathematics,

Y. C. Warana Mahavidyalay Warananagar, Dist-Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India. Email: aroonlokhande@gmail.com

²Research Student, Department of Mathematics D.A.B.N. College, Chikhali, Tal-Shirala, Dist-Sangli, Maharashtra, India. Email: ashokkulkarni02@gmail.com

Abstract- In this paper, We define concepts semi q-lattice and down set, proper down set, prime down set, minimal down set for semi q-lattice. We prove Any prime down set of a semi q-lattice contains a minimal prime down set.

Also we define filter in semi q-lattice and prove F be a non empty proper subset of a semi q-lattice S. Then F is a filter if and only if S-F is a prime down set. We prove F be a non empty sub set of a semi q-lattice S. Then F is a maximal filter if and only if S - F is a minimal prime down set.

Keywords - Semi q-lattice, Down Sets, Prime Down Sets, Filter

1. INTRODUCTION

Ivan Chajda [8] introduced the concept of a q-lattice and defined distributive q-lattice. After that G. C. Rao, P. Sundarayya, S. Kalesha vali, and Ravi Kumar Bandaru [3] defined ideals of distributive q-lattice, A. D. Lokhande, Ashok S Kulkarni [4] in paper ‘Filter and Annihilator in Distributive q-lattices’ defined Filter in a distributive q-lattice In paper [4] A. D. Lokhande, Ashok S Kulkarni defined annihilator in distributive q-lattice A and proved some properties.

In this paper We define concepts semi q-lattice and down set, proper down set, prime down set, minimal down set for semi q-lattice. We prove Any prime down set of a semi q-lattice contains a minimal prime down set We prove some remarks related to filter and maximal filter

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1:[3]. An algebra (A, \vee, \wedge) whose binary operations \vee, \wedge satisfy the following is called a q-lattice.

- (i) $a \vee b = b \vee a$; $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$ (commutativity)
- (ii) $a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge c$; $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee c$ (associativity)
- (iii) $a \vee (a \wedge b) = a \vee a$; $a \wedge (a \vee b) = a \wedge a$ (weak-absorption)
- (iv) $a \vee b = a \vee (b \vee b)$; $a \wedge b = a \wedge (b \wedge b)$ (weak-idempotence)
- (v) $a \vee a = a \wedge a$ (equalization)

3. semi q-lattice

Definition 3.1: S is a non empty set and \wedge is a binary operation then (S, \wedge) where binary operation \wedge satisfy for all $a, b, c \in S$ the following

- 1) $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$ (Commutative)
- 2) $a \wedge (b \wedge c) = (a \wedge b) \wedge c$ (Associative)
- 3) $a \wedge b = a \wedge (b \wedge b)$ (Weak idempotence)

Then (S, \wedge) is called semi q-lattice

Definition 3.2:

A semi q- lattice S with 0 is called 0-distributive if for any $a, b, c \in S$ such that

$$a \wedge b = 0 = a \wedge c \text{ implies } a \wedge d = 0 \text{ for some } d \text{ satisfying } d \wedge b = b \wedge b \text{ and } d \wedge c = c \wedge c$$

Definition 3.3:

Let S be a semi q- lattice. A non –empty subset D of S is called a down-set if $a \wedge a \in D$, $b \in S$ with $a \wedge b = b \wedge b$ implies that $b \wedge b \in D$.

A down-set D of S is called a proper down set if $D \neq S$.

Definition 3.3:

A prime down set is a proper down set P of S such that $a \wedge b \in P$ implies $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Definition 3.4:

A prime down set P is called minimal if there is a prime down set Q such that $Q \subseteq P$, then $P = Q$.

Theorem 3.1: Any prime down set of a semi q-lattice contains a minimal prime down set.

Proof: Let S be a semi q-lattice with 0. Let P be a prime down set of S and let β be the set of all prime down sets contained in P.

Therefore $\beta = \{ Q / Q \text{ is a prime down set contained in } P \}$

Then β is non empty since $P \in \beta$.

Let \hat{C} be a chain in β and let $M = \bigcap \{ X / X \in \hat{C} \}$

We claim that M is a prime down set.

As for all $a \wedge a \in P$ and for $0 \in S$

$$a \wedge 0 = 0 \wedge 0 = 0 \text{ implies } 0 \in P$$

Therefore 0 belongs to every down set

Implies $0 \in M$

Hence M is non empty.

To show M is a prime down set

i) let $a \wedge a \in M$, $b \in S$ such that $b \wedge a = b \wedge b$.

As $a \wedge a \in M$ implies $a \wedge a \in X$, $b \in S$ for all $X \in \hat{C}$ such that $b \wedge a = b \wedge b$.

Hence $b \wedge b \in X$ for all $X \in \hat{C}$ as X is a down set.

Thus $b \wedge b \in M$

Therefore M is a down set.

ii) Now let $x \wedge y \in M$ for some $x, y \in S$.

Then $x \wedge y \in X$ for all $X \in \hat{C}$.

Since X is a prime down set for all $X \in \hat{C}$,

we have either $x \in X$ or $y \in X$ for all $X \in \hat{C}$.

This implies that either $x \in M$ or $y \in M$.

Hence M is a prime down set.

Thus by applying the dual form of Zorns lemma to β , there is a minimal member of β .

Definition 3.5:

Let S be a semi q-lattice. A non empty subset F of S is called a filter if

i) $a, b \in F$ implies $a \wedge b \in F$

ii) $a \in S, b \wedge b \in F$ satisfying $a \wedge b = b \wedge b$ then $a \wedge a \in F$.

A filter F of a semi q-lattice S is called proper filter if $F \neq S$.

A maximal filter F of S is called proper filter if it is not contained in any other proper filter. This means if there is a proper filter G such that $F \subseteq G$ then $F = G$.

Theorem 3.2 : Let M be a proper filter of S with o . Then M is maximal if and only if for all $a \in S-M$, there is some $b \in M$ such that $a \wedge b = o$.

Proof: Let M be a proper filter of S with o .

suppose M is maximal and

for all $b \in M, a \wedge b \neq 0$ where $a \notin M$ means $a \in S-M$

Consider the set

$$M' = \{y \in S : y \wedge (a \wedge b) = a \wedge b, b \in M\}$$

Now to show M' is a filter of S

(1) Let $x, y \in M'$ implies $x \wedge (a \wedge b) = a \wedge b$ and $y \wedge (a \wedge b) = a \wedge b$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } (x \wedge y) \wedge (a \wedge b) &= x \wedge (y \wedge (a \wedge b)) \\ &= x \wedge (a \wedge b) \\ &= (a \wedge b) \end{aligned}$$

Implies $(x \wedge y) \in M'$

(2) Now let $z \in S, y \wedge y \in M'$ such that $z \wedge y = y \wedge y$

means $z \in S, (y \wedge y) \wedge (a \wedge b) = a \wedge b$ such that $z \wedge y = y \wedge y$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} (z \wedge z) \wedge (a \wedge b) &= (a \wedge b) \wedge (z \wedge z) \\ &= (a \wedge b) \wedge z \\ &= z \wedge (a \wedge b) \text{ -----(i)} \end{aligned}$$

Also as $z \wedge y = y \wedge y$

$$\text{Implies } (z \wedge y) \wedge (a \wedge b) = (y \wedge y) \wedge (a \wedge b)$$

$$\text{Implies } z \wedge (y \wedge (a \wedge b)) = (a \wedge b) \wedge (y \wedge y)$$

$$\text{Implies } z \wedge (a \wedge b) = (a \wedge b) \text{ as } y \wedge y \in M'$$

$$\text{Implies } z \wedge (a \wedge b) = (a \wedge b) \text{ -----(ii)}$$

from (i) and (ii) we write

$$(z \wedge z) \wedge (a \wedge b) = (a \wedge b)$$

Therefore $(z \wedge z) \in M'$

Therefore M' is a filter of S .

Now as $0 \wedge (a \wedge b) = 0$

Means as $0 \wedge (a \wedge b) \neq (a \wedge b)$ implies $0 \notin M'$

Hence M' is proper filter.

Now let $b \in M$ then $\exists a \notin M$

Such that $a \wedge b \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } b \wedge (a \wedge b) &= (b \wedge a) \wedge b \\ &= (a \wedge b) \wedge b \\ &= a \wedge (b \wedge b) \\ &= a \wedge b \end{aligned}$$

Implies $b \in M'$

Thus $M \subseteq M'$

Which is contradiction of maximality of M

Hence for $a \in S-M$ there must exist some $b \in M$ such that $a \wedge b = 0$

Conversely let for any element $a \in S-M$ means for $a \notin M$ there exist an element $b \in M$ with $a \wedge b = 0$

To show that M is maximal :

Now, suppose M is not maximal then (as $0 \in S$),

there exist a maximal filter M' properly containing M means $M \subset M'$

Therefore for any element $a \in M' - M$, means for any $a \notin M$ there exist an element $b \in M$ such that $a \wedge b = 0$

Now as $a \in M', b \in M'$ and as M' is filter imply that $a \wedge b \in M'$

Implies $a \wedge b = 0 \in M'$

Which is a contradiction Hence M must be a maximal filter.

Theorem 3.3: Let F be a non empty proper subset of a semi q-lattice S . Then F is a filter if and only if $S-F$ is a prime down set.

Proof : Let F be a filter of a semi q-lattice S .

To show that $S-F$ is a prime down set

Let $x \wedge x \in S-F, y \in S$ and $y \wedge x = y \wedge y$.

Then $x \wedge x \notin F, y \wedge x = y \wedge y$ and F is a filter

Implies $y \wedge y \notin F$.

This implies $y \wedge y \in S-F$.

Thus $S-F$ is a down set.

Since F is a filter and $S-F \neq S$.

Thus $S-F$ is a proper down set.

Now to prove $S-F$ is a prime down set.

Let $a, b \in S$ such that $a \wedge b \in S-F$.

Then $a \wedge b \notin F$ and hence as F is a filter either $a \notin F$ or $b \notin F$.

This implies either $a \in S-F$ or $b \in S-F$.

Therefore, $S-F$ is prime down set.

Conversely, Let $S-F$ be a prime down set

To prove that F is a filter

Let $x, y \in F$. Then clearly, $x, y \notin S-F$

Hence $x \wedge y \notin S-F$ as $S-F$ is a prime down set.

Thus $x \wedge y \in F$.

Now let $y \in S, x \wedge x \in F$ and $x \wedge y = x \wedge x$.

Then $x \wedge x \notin S-F$ and $x \wedge y = x \wedge x$

Since $S-F$ is a down set,

we have $y \wedge y \notin S-F$.

Hence $y \wedge y \in F$.

This implies F is a filter of S .

Theorem 3.4: Let F be a non empty sub set of a semi q-lattice S . Then F is a maximal filter if and only if $S - F$ is a minimal prime down set .

Proof: Let F be a maximal filter of a semi q-lattice and $S - F$ is not a minimal prime down set .

Then there exists a prime down set I such that $I \subseteq S - F$

Which implies $F \subseteq S - I$

Which contradict to the maximality of F .

Hence $S - F$ is a minimal prime down set .

Conversely, Let $S - F$ be a minimal prime down set and F is not a maximal filter.

Thus there exists a proper filter G such that $F \subseteq G$

Implies $S - G \subseteq S - F$

which contradict the minimality of $S - F$.

Hence F is a maximal filter of S .

Definition 3.6: A semi q- lattice S is called directed above if for all $x, y \in S$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $z \wedge x = x \wedge x$ and $z \wedge y = y \wedge y$.

Theorem 3.5: Let S be a directed above semi q-lattice with 0 . If S is not 0 -distributive , then the set

$F = \{ x \in S / x \wedge (a \wedge y) = (a \wedge y) \neq 0 \text{ for all } y \wedge b = b \wedge b \text{ and } y \wedge c = c \wedge c \}$

Where $a, b, c \in S$ such that $a \wedge b = a \wedge c = 0$, is a proper filter.

Proof: As S is not 0 -distributive , there are $a, b, c \in S$ such that $a \wedge b = a \wedge c = 0$ and $a \wedge d \neq 0$ for all $d \wedge b = b \wedge b, d \wedge c = c \wedge c$

As $a \wedge (a \wedge y) = (a \wedge y)$

Implies $a \in F$. Hence F is non empty.

Also as $0 \wedge (a \wedge y) = 0 \neq (a \wedge y)$

Implies $0 \notin F$

Now to show F is a filter

Let $x, y \in F$

Implies $x \wedge (a \wedge y) = (a \wedge y)$ and $y \wedge (a \wedge y) = (a \wedge y)$

For all $y \wedge b = b \wedge b, y \wedge c = c \wedge c$

Now consider

(1) $(x \wedge (a \wedge y)) \wedge (y \wedge (a \wedge y)) = (a \wedge y) \wedge (a \wedge y)$

Implies $((x \wedge (a \wedge y)) \wedge y) \wedge (a \wedge y) = (a \wedge y)$

Implies $(x \wedge (y \wedge (a \wedge y))) \wedge (a \wedge y) = (a \wedge y)$

Implies $(x \wedge y) \wedge ((a \wedge y) \wedge (a \wedge y)) = (a \wedge y)$

Implies $(x \wedge y) \wedge (a \wedge y) = (a \wedge y)$

Implies $x \wedge y \in F$

(2) Let $x \wedge x \in F, z \in S$ with $z \wedge x = x \wedge x$ then to show $z \wedge z \in F$

As $x \wedge x \in F, z \in S$ with $z \wedge x = x \wedge x$

Implies $(x \wedge x) \wedge (a \wedge y) = (a \wedge y)$ for all $y \wedge b = b \wedge b, y \wedge c = c \wedge c$

And $z \in S$ with $z \wedge x = x \wedge x$

To show $z \wedge z \in F$

We have to show $(z \wedge z) \wedge (a \wedge y) = (a \wedge y)$ for all $y \wedge b = b \wedge b, y \wedge c = c \wedge c$

AS $(z \wedge z) \wedge (a \wedge y) = (a \wedge y) \wedge (z \wedge z)$

$= ((a \wedge y) \wedge z)$

$= (z \wedge (a \wedge y))$

We show $z \wedge (a \wedge y) = a \wedge y$ for all $y \wedge b = b \wedge b, y \wedge c = c \wedge c$

And $z \in S$ with $z \wedge x = x \wedge x$

Consider $(z \wedge x) = (x \wedge x)$

$(z \wedge x) \wedge (a \wedge y) = (x \wedge x) \wedge (a \wedge y)$

$(z \wedge x) \wedge (a \wedge y) = x \wedge (x \wedge (a \wedge y))$

$z \wedge (x \wedge (a \wedge y)) = x \wedge (x \wedge (a \wedge y))$

$z \wedge (a \wedge y) = x \wedge (a \wedge y)$

$z \wedge (a \wedge y) = a \wedge y$

Implies $z \in F$

And since $0 \notin F$

Therefore F is a proper filter.

REFERENCES:

1. A. D. Lokhande and Ashok S Kulkarni: Semi- Ideal and Semi-Filter in Distributive Q-lattices, international Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 2 Issue: 3 501 – 506
2. A.S.A. Noor and Momtaz Begum: Some properties of 0-distributive Meet Semilattices, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 2, No. 1, 2012, 60-66
3. G. C. Rao , P. Sundarayya, S. Kalesha Vali and Ravi Kumar Bandaru : Some remarks on Distributive Q-lattices. International Journal of computational cognition Vol. 9 No; 79 -81 ,2 June 2011
4. H.S. Chakraborty and M.R. Talukder.: Some Characterizations of 0-Distributive Semilattices
5. Ivan Chajada. Lattices in quasiordered sets. Acta Uni. Pal. Olo.Fac.,105: 6-12, 1992
6. P. Balasubramani, Perundural : Characterizations of the 0-Distributive semilattice , Mathematica Bohemica 128(2003) No. 3, 237-252
7. Y.S.Pawar and A.D. Lokhande: Normal Semilattices, Indian J pure math. 29(12), December 1998
8. Y.S.Pawar and N.K. Thakare., 0-Distributive Semilattices, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol.21(4), 1978